Fall 2011 Enrollment Update – September 6, 2011, Term Census Day

Attachments:
- Fall Enrollment Update Report
- Fall 2011 Low Enrollment Sections (one sheet/tab per college)
- Fall Enrollment Activity Trends Report (one file per college)
- 2011-12 Comprehensive Target
- This Email as an Attachment

General Comments about Fall 2011 Enrollment Activity:
Observations about enrollment activity between first day and term census day in Fall 2011:
- Districtwide, the number of individual students decreased slightly during this time period to 25,231.
- The number of enrollments decreased by 1.5% to 70,208.
- FTES decreased by about 1% to 8,387.2 FTES districtwide.
- Waitlisted enrollments dropped dramatically before being purged prior to census – only waitlists in short-term courses remain.
- By college, since first day, activity has resulted in the following change in enrollments and FTES:
  - BC: Uncharacteristically, enrollments and FTES decreased between first day and census. FTES fell by 1.2% - typically it increases by a similar amount during this time period.
  - CC: Enrollments decreased by 11.1% and FTES decreased by 6.9% (FTES was bolstered by the POST Academy course). This is a typical pattern at CC, although the decreases are a little deeper than last fall. The leading factor is online enrollments – there are just as many dropped enrollments as current enrollments.
  - PC: Enrollments and FTES increased by about 6.5% between first day and census.

A Look at Fall and Annual FTES Targets:
Based on the current schedule and enrollments, it appears that BC will not meet their fall FTES target, CC should meet their fall target, and PC will exceed their fall target. While close, current information indicates that total FTES districtwide will fall short of the fall target.

The table below switches to an academic year FTES target perspective. For illustrative purposes, spring FTES is assumed to duplicate fall. Fall is projected using a simple prior-history method. If all things remained the same this term and were repeated in spring, BC would be about -550 FTES (4.4%) short of their target, CC would be about 170 FTES (5.2%) over target, and PC would be about 250 FTES (8%) over target. In other words, BC needs to build in approximately 550 extra FTES over the current schedule – either by adding short-term sections to this fall and/or planning a bigger spring.

For reference, the 2011-12 Comprehensive Target is attached (originally discussed in the 7-13-11 update).
Additional Fall 2011 Information:

**Productivity** (Current FTES/FTEF; Fall 2010 Productivity at this same time is in parentheses)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>FTES/FTEF</th>
<th>Fall 2010 FTES/FTEF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KCCD</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>16.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>16.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>16.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Waitlist**

Percent of Sections with Waitlisted Enrollments:  
- **BC**: 4.2%  
- **CC**: 6.3%  
- **PC**: 2.4%

Number of Waitlisted Enrollments:  
- **BC**: 524  
- **CC**: 585  
- **PC**: 155

Number of Waitlisted Students (Unduplicated):  
- **BC**: 431  
- **CC**: 302  
- **PC**: 134

Top 5 Waitlisted Courses (measured by number of waitlisted enrollments)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course</th>
<th>BC Count</th>
<th>CC Count</th>
<th>PC Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STDV B1</td>
<td>205</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENGL B34</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACDV B70B</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACDV B70C</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACDV B70D</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSCI C101</td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHDV C121</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDEV C052</td>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSCI C101</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC C075</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDUC P101</td>
<td>73</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOCI P102</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOCI P127</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note**: At CC, 97.8% of all waitlisted enrollments are in online sections (BC = 7.6% and PC = 56.1%)

**Low Enrollment Sections** - Sections with fewer than 20 students (cross-listed sections were combined)

Does not include sections requiring special approval to enroll, late start sections, sections with 20+ enrolled at census, Work Experience, or full sections with maximum seats of less than 20.

- **BC**: 45 (including 1 cross-listed group)
- **CC**: 67 (including 14 cross-listed groups)
- **PC**: 11 (including no cross-listed groups)

**Online** (current enrollment activity; sections were adjusted to count each cross-listed group as one section)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Courses</th>
<th>Enrollments</th>
<th>Current FTES</th>
<th>Unduplicated Students</th>
<th>FTES/FTEF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BC</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>3,426</td>
<td>343.3</td>
<td>2,458</td>
<td>17.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>6,723</td>
<td>620.6</td>
<td>3,776</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1,184</td>
<td>105.9</td>
<td>887</td>
<td>17.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Online sections as a percent of all Fall 2011 sections:

- **BC**: 6.7%
- **CC**: 44.6%
- **PC**: 9.3%

**Note**: At CC, 56.3% of all current enrollments are in online sections (BC = 7.4% and PC = 9.9%)

**Centers** (current enrollment activity; sections were adjusted to count each cross-listed group as one section)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Center</th>
<th>Courses</th>
<th>Enrollments</th>
<th>Current FTES</th>
<th>Unduplicated Students</th>
<th>FTES/FTEF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Delano</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>3,904</td>
<td>441.6</td>
<td>1,665</td>
<td>18.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weill/Stockdale</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1,233</td>
<td>150.0</td>
<td>948</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bishop/Mammoth</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>974</td>
<td>128.2</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRV/South Kern</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td>141.7</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please let me know if you have any questions, suggestions and/or comments.

Lisa

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

Lisa Fitzgerald  
Director, Research Analysis and Reporting  
Kern Community College District  
2100 Chester Avenue  
Bakersfield, CA 93301  
661-336-5054  
lfitzger@kccd.edu

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Lisa Fitzgerald

Subject: Summer and Fall 2011 Enrollment Update, 7-6-11

Summer and Fall 2011 Enrollment Update – July 6, 2011
(Fall is the focus, although summer is included in the attached document.)

Attachments:
- Summer and Fall Enrollment Update Report
- Fall 2011 Low Enrollment Sections (one sheet/tab per college)
- This Email as an Attachment

General Comments about Fall 2011:
In the last week, enrollment activity was a bit slower than in the prior two weeks. The most activity is currently taking place at CC, where the number of enrollments increased by 426 or 4.3% during the past week. In comparison, enrollments increased by 603 or 1.4% at BC and 187 or 2.0% at PC. Waitlisted enrollments continue to rise at each college but again not at the same rate as in prior weeks.

A Word about Productivity:
You may have noticed that while productivity is higher at CC and PC compared to this same time last fall, it is lower at BC. This appears to be caused by 3 main reasons (listed in order of significance). First, BC is currently holding more sections for special approval than last fall (212 vs. 149). Many of these have no enrollment (thus no FTES); further, many have faculty load assigned to them. This would affect both the numerator and the denominator of the productivity calculation (FTES/FTEF). Another reason is a higher faculty load in Registered Nursing. And finally, even with adjustments for the sections being held, it appears that the average number of students per section is slightly lower compared to this time last fall - which would affect the numerator in the productivity calculation. Once the sections being held are either cancelled or opened for enrollment, the productivity will rise. However, due to the other factors, it still may not rise to last fall's level.

Additional Fall 2011 Information:

Productivity (Current FTES/FTEF; Fall 2010 Productivity at this same time is in parentheses)

KCCD – 13.9 (14.6)  BC – 14.9 (16.3)  CC – 11.1 (9.7)  PC – 13.5 (13.3)

Waitlist
Percent of Sections with Waitlisted Enrollments:  BC = 69.1%  CC = 19.8%  PC = 46.9%
Waitlist Capacity (% of maximum waitlist seats taken):  BC = 65.3%  CC = 5.8%  PC = 18.9%
Number of Waitlisted Enrollments:  BC = 10,318  CC = 762  PC = 1,699
Number of Waitlisted Students (Unduplicated):  BC = 6,383  CC = 600  PC = 1,199

Top 5 Waitlisted Courses (measured by number of waitlisted enrollments)
BC – ENGL B1A (479);  ENGL B50 (351);  MATH BA (345);  SPAN B1AB (255);  STDV B1 (250)
CC – SPAN C101 (66);  ENGL C101 (45);  ENGL C070 (45);  ART C101 (44);  ANTH C121 (39)
PC – EDUC P101 (122);  MATH P055 (122);  CHEM P106 (100);  MATH P051 (81);  ENGL P101A (73)

Top 5 Waitlisted Courses at 100% Capacity (Waitlist Enrollments >/= Waitlist Maximum Enrollments)
BC – SPAN B1AB (255);  ASL B1 (210);  COMM B1 (102);  CHEM B11 (96);  JAPN B1 (60)
CC – BIOL C121 (2);  no other courses are at 100% capacity
PC – CHEM P106 (100);  PHYL P101 (50);  ANAT P110 (50);  ERSC P110 (25);  ADMJ P066A (10)

Note: At CC, 79.8% of all waitlisted enrollments are in on-line sections (BC = 10.5% and PC = 8.7%)

Low Enrollment Sections - Sections with fewer than 20 students (cross-listed sections were combined)
Does not include sections requiring special approval to enroll, late start sections, Work Experience, or full sections with maximum seats of less than 20.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BC</th>
<th>CC</th>
<th>PC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>79 (including 4 cross-listed groups)</td>
<td>175 (including 40 cross-listed groups)</td>
<td>67 (including 4 cross-listed groups)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**On-line** (current enrollment activity; sections were adjusted to count each cross-listed group as one section)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BC</th>
<th>CC</th>
<th>PC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>103 sections; 3,641 enrollments; 361.5 Current FTES; 2,523 unduplicated students; 16.3 FTES/FTEF</td>
<td>186 sections; 6,310 enrollments; 587.3 Current FTES; 3,364 unduplicated students; 14.3 FTES/FTEF</td>
<td>31 sections; 986 enrollments; 89.7 Current FTES; 730 unduplicated students; 14.6 FTES/FTEF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On-line sections as a percent of all Fall 2011 sections:

|        | BC = 7.0%; | CC = 41.7%; | PC = 9.0% |

**Note:** At CC, 61.3% of all current enrollments are in on-line sections (BC = 8.4% and PC = 10.3%)

**Centers** (current enrollment activity; sections were adjusted to count each cross-listed group as one section)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Delano</th>
<th>Well/Stockdale</th>
<th>Bishop/Mammoth</th>
<th>KRV/South Kern</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>112 sections; 3,528 enrollments; 402.5 Current FTES; 1,627 unduplicated students; 16.7 FTES/FTEF</td>
<td>41 sections; 959 enrollments; 120.2 Current FTES; 794 unduplicated students; 9.3 FTES/FTEF</td>
<td>53 sections; 695 enrollments; 92.3 Current FTES; 337 unduplicated students; 8.0 FTES/FTEF</td>
<td>62 sections; 856 enrollments; 113.7 Current FTES; 349 unduplicated students; 8.9 FTES/FTEF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please let me know if you have any questions, suggestions and/or comments.

Lisa Fitzgerald
Director, Research Analysis and Reporting
Kern Community College District
2100 Chester Avenue
Bakersfield, CA 93301
661-336-5054
lfitzer@kccd.edu
I was curious about where we were in regards to our annual target so I thought I’d send a quick enrollment update in case you were too!

Attached is the Enrollment Update report. A couple highlights follow:

- **Districtwide, Current FTES** is very similar to last spring at this time (9,356.6 vs. 9,309.9 last spring).
- By college, the growth/decline of **Current FTES** compared to this time last spring is as follows:
  - **BC** = +2.8%; **CC** = - 2.1%; **PC** = - 6.1%.
- By college, we are currently serving 26,551 students (**headcount**) - a very slight decline from last spring.
- By college, the growth/decline of the current **headcount** compared to this time last spring is as follows:
  - **BC** = +2.6%; **CC** = - 1.5%; **PC** = - 5.0%.
- **Districtwide**, the number of **sections** offered has declined by 1.2% (2,711 vs. 2,744 this same time last spring).
- By college, the growth/decline in the number of **sections** compared to this same time last spring is as follows:
  - **BC** = +0.6%; **CC** = - 4.0%; **PC** = - 4.8%.
- **Productivity** (Current FTES/FTEF; Spring 2010 Productivity at this same time is in parentheses)
  - **KCCD** – 16.4 (16.9)  **BC** – 16.9 (17.8)  **CC** – 14.6 (14.4)  **PC** – 16.4 (16.4)

**A Look at Annual FTES Targets**

Districtwide, it appears that the annual comprehensive target will be met. The target is based on the 2008-09 funding target which is higher than our current funding base (even with the 2.21% growth). Individually, it appears that both BC and CC will exceed their target and PC may come up a little short. The information below is not a projection, but rather current FTES based on enrollments right now.

Based on historical trends, the district will probably end up exceeding the target by 700 to 750 FTES.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>College/District</th>
<th>Comprehensive Enrollmt Mgmt Target¹</th>
<th>Current FTES² (as of 2-23-11)</th>
<th>+/- Comprehensive Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Summer 2010</td>
<td>Fall 2010</td>
<td>Spring 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCCD</td>
<td>20,256.0</td>
<td>1,957.3</td>
<td>9,503.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bakersfield</td>
<td>13,383.6</td>
<td>1,138.6</td>
<td>6,365.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerro Coso</td>
<td>3,609.3</td>
<td>632.1</td>
<td>1,546.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porterville</td>
<td>3,363.1</td>
<td>186.6</td>
<td>1,591.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ The Comprehensive Enrollment Management Target starts with the funding base and adds factors for non-resident and apprenticeship FTES (based on prior year) in order to align with our enrollment reporting which includes all student enrollments. The 2010-11 target is calculated using the 2008-09 funding base.

² Current FTES in 2010-11 is not a projection, Spring 2011 FTES is based on enrollments as of 2-23-11.

Lisa

_________________________________
Lisa Fitzgerald  
Director, Research Analysis and Reporting  
Kern Community College District  
2100 Chester Avenue  
Bakersfield, CA 93301  
661-336-5054  
lfitzger@kccd.edu
A Closer Look at Waitlisted Students

Waitlisted enrollments were purged from term-length classes over the weekend.
- On 1st day, there were 8,935 individual students enrolled in 14,543 individual waitlisted enrollments (classes).
- Last Friday (1-28-11) before the purge, there were 2,385 individual students enrolled in 3,039 individual waitlisted enrollments (classes).
- Today there are 623 individual students enrolled in 808 individual waitlisted enrollments (late starting classes).

A little more about waitlisted enrollments (duplicated students):
- Between 1st day and census, 8,687 or 59.7% of the waitlisted enrollments on 1st day were rolled into the waitlisted section or another section of the same class (registered).
- 330 of the waitlisted enrollments on 1st day (2.3%) remain on a waitlist for the same class (late-start class).
- 5,526 of the waitlisted enrollments on 1st day (38%) were either dropped or purged before census.
- Final note: 1,523 of the waitlisted enrollments which rolled into a section have subsequently been dropped.

A little more about individual students who were on one or more waitlists (unduplicated students):
- Of all 1st day waitlisted students, 1,283 (14.4%) were not enrolled in any classes today.
- Of the 2,170 students who were only enrolled on a waitlist on 1st day, 877 (40.4%) were not enrolled in any classes today.
- Of the 2,385 students enrolled on a waitlist before the purge, 430 (18%) were not enrolled in any classes today.

Drop Outs

As of today, 3,581 Spring 2011 students have dropped from all their classes. This is roughly 13% of our current districtwide headcount.

A Quick Look at Annual FTES Targets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>College/District</th>
<th>2010-11 FTES Target (#1)</th>
<th>Current FTES (f) (as of 1-31-11)</th>
<th>+/- Com. Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KCCD</td>
<td>20,256.0</td>
<td>1,957.3</td>
<td>414.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bakersfield</td>
<td>13,383.5</td>
<td>1,138.6</td>
<td>420.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerro Coso</td>
<td>3,509.3</td>
<td>632.1</td>
<td>79.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porterville</td>
<td>3,363.1</td>
<td>186.6</td>
<td>-95.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(\#1\) Comprehensive Enrollment Management Target starts with the funding base and adds factors for non-resident and apprenticeship FTES (based on prior year) in order to align with our enrollment reporting which includes all student enrollments. The 2010-11 target is calculated using the 2008-09 funding base.

\(f\) Current FTES in 2010-11 is not a projection, Spring 2011 FTES is based on enrollments as of 1-31-11.
**Additional Spring 2011 Information:**

**Productivity** (Current FTES/FTEF; Spring 2010 Productivity at this same time is in parentheses)

KCCD – 16.1 (16.6)  BC – 16.6 (17.3)  CC – 14.3 (14.3)  PC – 16.1 (16.6)

**Waitlist (remaining in short-term classes)**

Percent of Sections with Waitlisted Enrollments:  
BC = 3.2%  CC = 4.7%  PC = 1.4%

Number of Waitlisted Enrollments:  
BC = 348  CC = 378  PC = 82

Number of Waitlisted Students (Unduplicated):  
BC = 312  CC = 247  PC = 78

Top 5 Waitlisted Courses (measured by number of waitlisted enrollments)

- BC – STDV B1 (158); ENGL B34 (52); MATH B6E (15); BSAD B19 (14); ACDV B70E (10)
- CC – CHDV C105 (49); CHDV C104 (48); CHDV C125 (30); CHDV C121 (24); CHDV C100 (24)
- PC – EDUC P101 (30); FIRE P051 (25); SOCI P127 (15); EDUC P107 (6); SOCI P102 (6)

**Note:** At CC, 97% of all waitlisted enrollments are in on-line sections (BC = 0% and PC = 56%)

**Low Enrollment Sections** - Sections with fewer than 20 students (cross-listed sections were combined)

Does not include sections requiring special approval to enroll, Work Experience, late starting sections or full sections with maximum seats of less than 20.

- BC = 88 (including 2 cross-listed groups)
- CC = 66 (including 15 cross-listed groups)
- PC = 33 (including no cross-listed groups)

**On-line** (current enrollment activity)

- BC = 114 sections; 4,370 enrollments; 444.7 Current FTES; 2,991 unduplicated students; 18.2 FTES/FTEF
- CC = 197 sections; 7,011 enrollments; 642.4 Current FTES; 3,820 unduplicated students; 15.6 FTES/FTEF
- PC = 30 sections; 1,085 enrollments; 101.8 Current FTES; 804 unduplicated students; 17.2 FTES/FTEF

On-line sections as a percent of all Spring 2011 sections:

- BC = 7%;  CC = 36% (44% if adjusted for cross-listed sections);  PC = 7%

**Centers** (current enrollment activity; sections were adjusted to count each cross-listed group as one section)

- Delano = 137 sections; 4,347 enrollments; 507.0 Current FTES; 1,847 unduplicated students; 17.2 FTES/FTEF
- Weill/Stockdale = 59 sections; 1,566 enrollments; 184.8 Current FTES; 1,213 unduplicated students; 13.9 FTES/FTEF
- Bishop/Mammoth = 49 sections; 933 enrollments; 134.3 Current FTES; 483 unduplicated students; 12.5 FTES/FTEF
- KRV/South Kern = 52 sections; 1,077 enrollments; 137.0 Current FTES; 460 unduplicated students; 12.6 FTES/FTEF

Please let me know if you have any questions, suggestions and/or comments.

Lisa

_________________________________
Lisa Fitzgerald
Director, Research Analysis and Reporting
Kern Community College District
2100 Chester Avenue
Bakersfield, CA 93301
661-336-5054
lfitzger@kccd.edu